

6-22-68

REVIEWED BY W.W. DATE 6-22-68

DECLASSIFY  
 EXCISE  
 DENY  
 FOI, EO or FA exemptions

DECLASSIFY IN PART  
 Non-responsive info.

TS authority to:  
 OADR  
 S or C, OADR

CLASSIFY AS  
 DOWNGRADE TS to ( ) S or ( ) C, OADR

Copy #139 destroyed, R/W

FOI  
EXCISE

## OUTGOING TELEGRAM Department of State

INDICATE:  COLLECT  
 CHARGE TO

DECLASSIFIED

SECRET  
Classification460  
2310ACTION: AmEmbassy CAIRO  
AmEmbassy LONDON

Oct 25 7 40 PM '68

Origin  
Info:

Following detailed briefing in Department regarding genesis of current Cuban crisis, including photographs of Soviet missile sites and bombers, UAR Ambassador

(A)(1)

USIA has pouched photos

Cairo noon Oct 25 presumably to arrive following day. (Believe photos available London).

In discussion with Kamel October 25, Assistant Secretary Talbot supplemented Dept guidance messages with following themes which posts authorized draw upon as appropriate:

(1) Notion that U.S. quarantine is action threatening peace is false. Quarantine fully legal under terms Rio Treaty and consistent with UN Charter.

(2) Rather it is Soviet introduction of nuclear weapons into this hemisphere without knowledge or approval Cuban people which poses real threat.

(3) Current action stems from resolution adopted by OAS organ of

DRAFTED BY PHB

NEA:NE:JRB:Barrow:es:10/25/62

Telegraphic transmission ends  
Classification approved by

NEA - Phillips Talbot

Clearances

NE - Mr. Strong  
ARA - Hurwitz (Draft)REPRODUCTION FROM  
COPY IS PROHIBITED  
UNLESS "UNCLASSIFIED"SECRET  
Classification

FORM 5-61 DS-322

87- DOS-04951 DR

SECRET

Classification

DECLASSIFIED

consultation acting under terms Rio Pact.

(4) There is no correspondence between MRBMs introduced by Soviets into Cuba and U.S. MRBMs in Europe. Latter were installed by 1957 NATO decision in response to Soviet missile threats made during Suez campaign in 1956. Soviet MRBMs in Europe no threat to United States. Introduction U.S. MRBMs into NATO countries solely intended for defense Western Europe. MRBMs in Cuba appear designed at least in part increase Soviet leverage on free countries of Latin America.

(5) U.S. sincerely desirous resolve present crisis but not repeat not prepared accept terms of reference for negotiations which do not repeat not go to the heart of the problem namely, dismantling and withdrawal of all offensive weapons in Cuba, some of which already operational. Proposals which merely relate to ending the United States quarantine and refraining from introduction new offensive weapons into Cuba do not go to the heart of the problem and are thus unacceptable.

(6) We not asking UAR or other non-aligned nations see problem our way but we do expect them to act impartially and on basis seeking practical realistic solutions. U.S. not repeat not prepared fall into trap of letting USSR take something to which not entitled, then stop and bargain for payment of something else. This precisely what Chicoms doing in territorial advance on India. We not prepared accept this.

(b) (1)

DECLASSIFIED

SECRET

Classification

SECRET

Classification

DECLASSIFIED

(b)(1)

Talbot replied neutrals might well work for UN verification of dismantling and withdrawal of Soviet offensive capability in Cuba. U.S. and Soviets could then have profitable discussion critical world issues in larger contexts.

END

RUSK

DECLASSIFIED

SECRET  
Classification